arXiv:1612.08654v1 [cs.DS] 27 Dec 2016

Metric 1-median selection with fewer queries

Ching-Lueh Chang *

December 28, 2016

Abstract

Let $h\colon \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ be such that (1) $h(n) \leq \lg n$ for all sufficiently large n and (2) $h(n)$ and $\lceil n^{1/h(n)} \rceil$ are computable from n in $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$ time. We show that given an n-point metric space (M, d), the problem of finding $\operatorname{argmin}_{i \in M} \sum_{j \in M} d(i,j)$ (breaking ties arbitrarily) has a deterministic, $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$-time, $O(n^{1+1/h(n)})$-query, $(2\,h(n))$-approximation and nonadaptive algorithm. Our proofs modify those of Chang [2, 3].

1 Introduction

A metric space is a nonempty set M endowed with a function $d\colon M \times M \to [\,0, \infty\,)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d\,(x,x) &= 0, \\ d\,(x,y) &> 0, \\ d\,(x,y) &= d\,(y,x)\,, \\ d\,(x,y) + d\,(y,z) &\geq d\,(x,z) \end{aligned}$$

for all distinct x, y, $z \in M$ [11]. Given an n-point metric space $(\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}, d)$, METRIC 1-MEDIAN asks for $\operatorname{argmin}_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i,j)$, breaking ties arbitrarily. It has a Monte-Carlo $O(n/\epsilon^2)$-time $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation algorithm for all constants $\epsilon > 0$ [8, 9]. Kumar et al. [10] give a Monte-Carlo $O(D \cdot \exp(1/\epsilon^{O(1)}))$-time $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation algorithm for 1-median selection in $\mathbb{R}^D$, where $\epsilon > 0$ and $D \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Algorithms abound for the more general metric k-median problem [6, 7, 10].

This paper focuses on deterministic sublinear-time algorithms for METRIC 1-MEDIAN, where "sublinear" means "$o(n^2)$" because there are $n(n-1)/2$ nonzero distances. In particular, we shall improve the following theorem.

*Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Yuan Ze University, Taoyuan, Taiwan. Email: clchang@saturn.yzu.edu.tw

Theorem 1 (Implicit in [1, 2, 12]). *Let $h\colon \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ be such that (1) $h(n) \leq \lg n$ for all sufficiently large n and (2) $h(n)$ and $\lceil n^{1/h(n)} \rceil$ are computable from n in $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$ time. Then* METRIC 1-MEDIAN *has a deterministic, $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$-time, $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$-query, $(2\,h(n))$-approximation and nonadaptive algorithm.*

To prove Theorem 1, Chang [2] designs a function $\tilde{d}\colon \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}^2 \to [\,0, \infty\,)$ such that a 1-median w.r.t. $\tilde{d}$ is $(2\,h(n))$-approximate w.r.t. d and is computable in $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$ time. However, $\tilde{d}(\cdot, \cdot)$ depends on $\Theta(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$ distances of d, forbidding us to improve the query complexity of $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$ in Theorem 1. Wu's [12] algorithm also makes $\Theta(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$ queries. In contrast, our main contribution is a new function, $\hat{d}$, that depends on only $\Theta(n^{1+1/h(n)})$ distances of d and is otherwise similar to Chang's $\tilde{d}$. This results in a deterministic, $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$-time, $O(n^{1+1/h(n)})$-query, $(2\,h(n))$-approximation and nonadaptive algorithm for METRIC 1-MEDIAN, improving the query complexity in Theorem 1. The idea behind our construction of $\hat{d}$ comes from an unpublished workshop paper of Chang [3]. Aside from our design of $\hat{d}$, most of our derivations are simple modifications of those of Chang [2]. As a corollary to our result, METRIC 1-MEDIAN has a deterministic, $O(n \log n)$-time, $O(n)$-query, $(\epsilon \log n)$-approximation and nonadaptive algorithm for all constants $\epsilon > 0$.

On the negative side, Chang [4, 5] proves that METRIC 1-MEDIAN has no deterministic $o(n^{1+1/(h(n)-1)}/h(n))$-query $(2\,h(n) - \epsilon)$-approximation algorithms for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $h\colon \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ satisfying $h(n) = o(n^{1/(h(n)-1)})$. So there is still gap between Chang's lower bound and our upper bound of $O(n^{1+1/h(n)})$ on the query complexity.

2 Our pseudo-distance function

Let $(\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}, d)$ be a metric space and $h\colon \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ be a computable function. By Bertrand's postulate, there exists a prime number $t \in [\,\lceil n^{1/h(n)} \rceil,\, 2 \cdot \lceil n^{1/h(n)} \rceil\,]$. Clearly, $\gcd(n-1, n) = 1$. So the primality of t implies the existence of $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $\gcd(t, n - \sigma) = 1$. For convenience, $h \equiv h(n)$. For all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, write

$$(s_{h-1}(j), s_{h-2}(j), \ldots, s_0(j)) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}^h$$

for the unique t-ary representation of j, following Chang [2]. So

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{h-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{h-1-\ell} = j. \tag{1}$$

For any predicate P, let $\chi[\,P\,] = 1$ if P is true and $\chi[\,P\,] = 0$ otherwise.

Define

$$d^{(n-\sigma)}(x,y) \equiv d(x \bmod (n-\sigma),\, y \bmod (n-\sigma)) \tag{2}$$

for all $x,\, y \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $d^{(n-\sigma)}$ is symmetric and obeys the triangle inequality, just like d. For all $i,\, j \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-\sigma-1\}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{d}\left(i, it^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right) \\ \equiv\ & \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j)\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{h-1-\ell}(j)\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

This and the triangle inequality for $d^{(n-\sigma)}$ imply

$$\hat{d}\left(i, it^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right) \geq d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(i,\, it^h + \sum_{\ell=0}^{h-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j)\cdot t^{h-1-\ell}\right).$$

So by equation (1),

$$\hat{d}\left(i, it^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right) \geq d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(i, it^h + j\right). \tag{4}$$

Note that the domain of $\hat{d}$ is $\{0,1,\ldots,n-\sigma-1\}^2$. For all $x,\, y \in \mathbb{N}$, interpret $\hat{d}(x,y)$ as

$$\hat{d}(x \bmod (n-\sigma),\, y \bmod (n-\sigma)).$$

Let

$$i' = \operatorname*{argmin}_{i=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i,j), \tag{5}$$

breaking ties arbitrarily.

When $\sigma = 0$, the following lemma says that a 1-median w.r.t. $\hat{d}$ is a $(2h)$-approximate 1-median w.r.t. d.

Lemma 2 (cf. [2, Lemma 4])**.** *Let*

$$\alpha = \operatorname*{argmin}_{i=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \left(\chi[\sigma=1]\cdot d(i,n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(i,\, it^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)\right), \tag{6}$$

breaking ties arbitrarily. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\alpha,j) \\ \leq\ & \chi[\sigma=1]\cdot d(\alpha,n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\alpha,\, \alpha t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right) \\ \leq\ & 2h\cdot\left(\min_{i=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i,j)\right) - \chi[\sigma=1]\cdot\left((2h-1)\cdot d\left(i',n-1\right) - \frac{1}{n-1}\cdot\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} d\left(i',j\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\alpha, j) &\overset{(2)}{=} \chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}(\alpha, j) \\ &= \chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(\alpha, \alpha t^h + j\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality uses equation (2) and the one-to-one correspondence of $j \mapsto \alpha t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-\sigma-1\}$.

Pick $\boldsymbol{u}$ from $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-\sigma-1\}$ uniformly at random. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(\alpha, \alpha t^h + j\right) \\ \overset{(4)}{\leq}\ &\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\alpha,\, \alpha t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right) \\ \overset{(6)}{\leq}\ &\mathrm{E}\left[\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\boldsymbol{u}, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\boldsymbol{u},\, \boldsymbol{u}t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)\right] \\ \leq\ &\mathrm{E}\left[\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot (d(i', \boldsymbol{u}) + d(i', n-1)) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\boldsymbol{u},\, \boldsymbol{u}t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)\right] \\ =\ &\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{n-\sigma} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{n-\sigma-1} d(i', m)\right) + d(i', n-1)\right] + \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\boldsymbol{u},\, \boldsymbol{u}t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)\right],\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the triangle inequality for d. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\boldsymbol{u},\, \boldsymbol{u}t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)\right] \\ \overset{(3)}{=}\ &\mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(\boldsymbol{u}t^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, \boldsymbol{u}t^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)\right] \\ \leq\ &\mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}t^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-1-\ell}\right) + d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}t^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)\right] \\ \overset{(2)}{=}\ &\sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \left(\mathrm{E}\left[d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}t^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-1-\ell} \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)\right]\right. \\ &\left. + \mathrm{E}\left[d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}t^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-\ell} \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)\right]\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the triangle inequality for $d^{(n-\sigma)}$.

Because $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a uniformly random element of $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-\sigma-1\}$ and $\gcd(t, n-$

$\sigma) = 1$ by construction,

$$\boldsymbol{u}t^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-1-\ell} \bmod (n-\sigma)$$

distributes uniformly at random over $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-\sigma-1\}$ for *any* $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, h\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \left(\mathrm{E}\left[d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}t^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-1-\ell} \bmod (n-\sigma) \right) \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \mathrm{E}\left[d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}t^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{h-1-\ell}(j) \cdot t^{k-\ell} \bmod (n-\sigma) \right) \right] \right), \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \left(\frac{1}{n-\sigma} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{n-\sigma-1} d(i', m) + \frac{1}{n-\sigma} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{n-\sigma-1} d(i', m) \right) \\
= & \; 2h \sum_{m=0}^{n-\sigma-1} d(i', m) .
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing all the above with tedious calculations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\alpha, j) & \leq \chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\alpha, \alpha t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right) \\
& \leq 2h \cdot \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} d(i', m) \right) - \chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot \left((2h-1) \cdot d(i', n-1) - \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{n-2} d(i', m) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, invoke equation (5). □

The following lemma shows how to pick a $(2h)$-approximate 1-median (w.r.t. d) from $\{\alpha, n-1\}$.

Lemma 3. *Let $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-\sigma-1\}$ be as in equation (6), breaking ties arbitrarily. If*

$$\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\alpha, \alpha t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right) < \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n-1, j), \quad (7)$$

then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\alpha, j) \leq 2h \cdot \min_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i, j). \quad (8)$$

Otherwise,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n-1, j) \leq 2h \cdot \min_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i, j). \quad (9)$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\alpha, j) \overset{(2)}{=} \chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}(\alpha, j) \tag{10}$$

$$\overset{(4)}{\leq} \chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}(\alpha, j)$$

$$= \chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\alpha,\, \alpha t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right), \tag{11}$$

where the last equality uses the one-to-one correspondence of $j \mapsto \alpha t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-\sigma-1\}$.

Next, we separate the discussion as to whether

$$(2h-1) \cdot d(i', n-1) - \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{n-2} d(i', m) \geq 0. \tag{12}$$

Case (1): Equation (12) is true. By Lemma 2,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\alpha, j) \leq 2h \cdot \min_{i=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i, j). \tag{13}$$

Subcase (i): Equation (7) is true. By equations (7) and (10)–(11),

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\alpha, j) < \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n-1, j).$$

This and equation (13) imply equation (8).

Subcase (ii): Equation (7) is false. By Lemma 2 and equation (12),

$$\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(\alpha,\, \alpha t^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)$$

$$\leq 2h \cdot \min_{i=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i, j).$$

This and the negation of equation (7) imply

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n-1, j) \leq 2h \cdot \min_{i=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i, j). \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) implies equation (9) (note that $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n-1, j)$ does not exceed itself).

Case (2): Equation (12) is false. By the triangle inequality for d,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n-1,j) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (d(i',n-1)+d(i',j)) \\ &= n\cdot d(i',n-1)+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i',j).\end{aligned}$$

This and the negation of equation (12) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n-1,j) &< n\cdot\frac{1}{2h-1}\cdot\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} d(i',j)+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i',j) \quad (15)\\ &\leq 2\cdot\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i',j) \\ &\overset{(5)}{=} 2\cdot\min_{i=0}^{n-\sigma-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(i,j), \quad (16)\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality uses $h\geq 2$. Inequalities (15)–(16) imply equation (9).

Subcase (a): Equation (7) is false. Equation (9) holds as desired.

Subcase (b): Equation (7) is true. By equations (7) and (10)–(11),

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\alpha,j) < \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n-1,j).$$

This and equation (9) give equation (8).

□

3 Dynamic programming

Define $(s'_{h-1},s'_{h-2},\ldots,s'_0)\in\{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}^h$ to be the t-ary representation of $n-\sigma-1$. So $\sum_{r=0}^{h-1} s'_r\cdot t^r = n-\sigma-1$. For $i\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-\sigma-1\}$ and $m\in\{0,1,\ldots,h-1\}$, define

$$\begin{aligned}f(i,m) &\equiv \sum_{s_m,s_{m-1},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \leq \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right] \\ &\quad\cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right), \quad (17)\\ g(i,m) &\equiv \sum_{s_m,s_{m-1},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1}\sum_{k=0}^{m} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right); \quad (18)\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$f(i,0) = \sum_{s_0=0}^{s'_0} d^{(n-\sigma)}(i,\ it+s_0), \tag{19}$$

$$g(i,0) = \sum_{s_0=0}^{t-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}(i,\ it+s_0). \tag{20}$$

Chang also defines functions similar to our $f(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot,\cdot)$ [2, equations (8)–(9)], based on his pseudo-distance function [2, equation (2)]. Instead, equations (17)–(18) are based on $\hat{d}$ in equation (3).

When $\sigma = 0$, the following lemma says that a minimizer of $f(\cdot, h-1)$ is a 1-median w.r.t. $\hat{d}$.

Lemma 4 (cf. [2, Lemma 5]). *For all $i \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-\sigma-1\}$,*

$$f(i,h-1) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \hat{d}\left(i,\ it^h + j \bmod (n-\sigma)\right).$$

Proof. Representing each $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-\sigma-1\}$ in t-ary as $(s_{h-1}, s_{h-2}, \ldots, s_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{n-\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{h-1-\ell}(j)\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{h-1-\ell}(j)\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
= &\sum_{s_{h-1},s_{h-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{h-1} s_r\cdot t^r \le n-\sigma-1\right] \\
&\cdot \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{h-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{h-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Equations (3), (17) and (21) complete the proof (recall that $\sum_{r=0}^{h-1} s'_r \cdot t^r = n-\sigma-1$). □

When $\sigma = 0$, a minimizer of $f(\cdot, h-1)$ is a $(2h)$-approximate 1-median w.r.t. d by Lemmas 2 and 4. So we want to calculate $f(\cdot, h-1)$. The next four lemmas derive recurrences for $g(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $f(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Lemma 5 (cf. [2, Lemma 6]). *For all $m \in \{0,1,\ldots,h-1\}$ and s_m, s_{m-1}, …, $s_0 \in \{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{m} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
= &\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1} + s_m\cdot t^k + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+2} + s_m\cdot t^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{m} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)\\
=&\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell},\, it^{k+2}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k+1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k+1-\ell}\right)\\
=&\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell},\, it^{k+2}+s_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{k+1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k+1-\ell}\right)\\
=&\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+2}+s_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first and the last equalities follow from substituting k with $k+1$ and ℓ with $\ell+1$, respectively. □

Lemma 6 (cf. [2, Lemma 6])**.** *For all* $i\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-\sigma-1\}$ *and* $m\in\{1,2,\ldots,h-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(i,m) &= t^m\sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}(i,\, it+s_m)\\
&\quad+\sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} g\left(it+s_m \bmod (n-\sigma),\, m-1\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By equation (18),

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(i,m)\\
=&\sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1}\sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1}\left(d^{(n-\sigma)}(i,it+s_m)\right.\\
&\left.+\sum_{k=1}^{m} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)\right)\\
=&\sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} t^m\cdot d^{(n-\sigma)}(i,it+s_m)\\
+&\sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1}\sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1}\sum_{k=1}^{m} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right),\\
&g\left(it+s_m \bmod (n-\sigma),\, m-1\right)\\
=&\sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left((it+s_m)\,t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\,(it+s_m)\,t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $s_m\in\{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}$, where the last equality uses equation (2) as well. These and Lemma 5 complete the proof. □

For all $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h-1\}$ and s_m, s_{m-1}, …, $s_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}$, consider whether

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r \cdot t^r \leq \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r \cdot t^r \tag{22}$$

in the following three cases:

(I) If $s_m = s'_m$, equation (22) holds if and only if $(s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, \ldots, s_0)$ is the t-ary representation of one of 0, 1, …, $\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r$.

(II) If $s_m < s'_m$, equation (22) holds.

(III) If $s_m > s'_m$, equation (22) fails to hold.

Lemma 7 (cf. [2, Lemma 7]). *For all $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h-1\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, \ldots, s_0 = 0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r \cdot t^r \leq \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r \cdot t^r\right] \cdot d^{(n-\sigma)}(i, it + s_m) \\ = &\left(1 + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\right) d^{(n-\sigma)}(i, it + s'_m) + t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m - 1} d^{(n-\sigma)}(i, it + s_m). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Proof. Items (I)–(II) account for the first and the second terms of the right-hand side of equation (23), respectively. □

Lemma 8 (cf. [2, Lemma 7]). *For all $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-\sigma-1\}$ and $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h-1\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} f(i, m) &= \left(1 + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\right) d^{(n-\sigma)}(i, it + s'_m) \\ &+ t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m - 1} d^{(n-\sigma)}(i, it + s_m) \\ &+ f(it + s'_m \bmod (n-\sigma), m-1) \\ &+ \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m - 1} g(it + s_m \bmod (n-\sigma), m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(i,m) && (24)\\
\overset{(17)}{=} & \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right]\cdot\left(d^{(n-\sigma)}(i,it+s_m)\right.\\
& \left.+\sum_{k=1}^{m} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)\right)\\
\overset{\text{Lemma 5}}{=} & \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right]\cdot\left(d^{(n-\sigma)}(i,it+s_m)\right.\\
& \left.+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+2}+s_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)\right)\\
\overset{\text{item (III)}}{=} & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right]\cdot\left(d^{(n-\sigma)}(i,it+s_m)\right.\\
& \left.+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+2}+s_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

So by Lemma 7, it remains to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right]\\
& \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\, it^{k+2}+s_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right)\\
= \;& f\left(it+s'_m \bmod (n-\sigma),\, m-1\right)+\sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} g\left(it+s_m \bmod (n-\sigma),\, m-1\right). \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Separating the left-hand side of equation (25) according to whether $s_m = s'_m$

or $s_m \le s'_m - 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right] \\
\cdot\ & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+2}+s_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
= & \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r\cdot t^r\right] \\
\cdot\ & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s'_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+2}+s'_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
+ & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right] \\
\cdot\ & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+2}+s_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
\overset{\text{item (II)}}{=} & \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r\cdot t^r\right] \\
\cdot\ & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s'_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+2}+s'_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
+ & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(it^{k+1}+s_m\cdot t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\ it^{k+2}+s_m\cdot t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
\overset{(2)}{=} & \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r\cdot t^r\right] \\
\cdot\ & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(\left(it+s'_m \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\right. \\
& \left.\left(it+s'_m \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
+ & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d^{(n-\sigma)}\left(\left(it+s_m \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)t^k+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-1-\ell},\right. \\
& \left.\left(it+s_m \bmod (n-\sigma)\right)t^{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} s_{m-1-\ell}\cdot t^{k-\ell}\right) \\
\overset{(17)\text{–}(18)}{=} & f\left(it+s'_m \bmod (n-\sigma),\, m-1\right)+\sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} g\left(it+s_m \bmod (n-\sigma),\, m-1\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Lemma 9 (cf. [2, Lemma 8])**.** Approx.-Median *in Fig. 1 outputs a* $(2h)$*-approximate* 1*-median w.r.t.* d*.*

Proof. By equations (19)–(20), lines 10–13 of Approx.-Median find $f(\cdot, 0)$ and $g(\cdot, 0)$. By Lemmas 6 and 8, lines 14–23 find $f(\cdot, m)$ and $g(\cdot, m)$ for an increasing $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h-1\}$. By Lemma 4, line 24 picks α as in equation (6), and the condition in line 25 is the same as equation (7). So by Lemma 3, lines 25–29 output a $(2h)$-approximate 1-median (w.r.t. d). □

Below is our main theorem.

Theorem 10 (cf. [2, Theorem 9])**.** *Let* $h\colon \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ *be such that (1)* $h(n) \le \lg n$ *for all sufficiently large* n *and (2)* $h(n)$ *and* $\lceil n^{1/h(n)} \rceil$ *are computable from* n *in* $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$ *time. Then* METRIC 1-MEDIAN *has a deterministic,* $O(h(n) \cdot n^{1+1/h(n)})$*-time,* $O(n^{1+1/h(n)})$*-query,* $(2h(n))$*-approximation and nonadaptive algorithm.*

Proof. By Lemma 9, Approx.-Median is $(2h)$-approximate. It is clearly deterministic and nonadaptive. Lines 3–8 of Approx.-Median make $O(nt)$ queries. With $\{t^i\}_{i=0}^{h-1}$ and $\{\sum_{r=0}^{i} s'_r \cdot t^r\}_{i=0}^{h-1}$ precomputed, lines 14–23 take $O(hnt)$ time. The well-known AKS primality test allows line 1 to take time

$$\left(\left\lceil n^{1/h} \right\rceil + 1\right) \cdot \log^{O(1)} \left(O\left(n^{1/h}\right)\right) = O\left(\sqrt{n} \cdot \log^{O(1)} n\right) = o(n),$$

where the first equality uses $h \ge 2$. Finally, $t = \Theta(n^{1/h})$ by line 1. □

Corollary 11. METRIC 1-MEDIAN *has a deterministic,* $O(n \log n)$*-time,* $O(n)$*-query,* $(\epsilon \log n)$*-approximation and nonadaptive algorithm for each constant* $\epsilon > 0$*.*

Proof. Take $h(n) = (\epsilon/2) \lg n$ in Theorem 10. □

Corollary 11 is stronger than taking $h(n) = (\epsilon/2) \lg n$ in Theorem 1. We leave open whether deterministic $O(n)$-query algorithms for METRIC 1-MEDIAN can be $o(\log n)$-approximate.

Acknowledgments

The author is supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan under grant 105-2221-E-155-047-.

Pick any prime number $t \in [\,\lceil n^{1/h} \rceil,\ 2 \cdot \lceil n^{1/h} \rceil\,]$;
Pick any $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ satisfying $\gcd(t, n - \sigma) = 1$;
for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - \sigma - 1$ **do**
  for $s = 0, 1, \ldots, t - 1$ **do**
    Query for $d(i, it + s \bmod (n - \sigma))$;
  end for
  Query for $d(n - 1, i)$;
end for
$(s'_{h-1}, s'_{h-2}, \ldots, s'_0) \leftarrow$ the t-ary representation of $n - \sigma - 1$;
for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - \sigma - 1$ **do**
  $f[i][0] \leftarrow \sum_{s_0=0}^{s'_0} d(i, it + s_0 \bmod (n - \sigma))$;
  $g[i][0] \leftarrow \sum_{s_0=0}^{t-1} d(i, it + s_0 \bmod (n - \sigma))$;
end for
for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, h - 1$ **do**
  for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - \sigma - 1$ **do**
    $f[i][m] \leftarrow (1 + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r)\, d(i, it + s'_m \bmod (n - \sigma))$;
    $f[i][m] \leftarrow f[i][m] + t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} d(i, it + s_m \bmod (n - \sigma))$;
    $f[i][m] \leftarrow f[i][m] + f[it + s'_m \bmod (n - \sigma)][m - 1]$;
    $f[i][m] \leftarrow f[i][m] + \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} g[it + s_m \bmod (n - \sigma)][m - 1]$;
    $g[i][m] \leftarrow t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} d(i, it + s_m \bmod (n - \sigma))$;
    $g[i][m] \leftarrow g[i][m] + \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} g[it + s_m \bmod (n - \sigma)][m - 1]$;
  end for
end for
$\alpha \leftarrow \mathrm{argmin}_{i=0}^{n-\sigma-1} (\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(i, n - 1) + f[i][h - 1]$, breaking ties arbitrarily;

if $\chi[\sigma = 1] \cdot d(\alpha, n - 1) + f[\alpha][h - 1] < \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(n - 1, j)$ **then**
  Output α;
else
  Output $n - 1$;
end if

Figure 1: Algorithm Approx.-Median

References

[1] C.-L. Chang. Deterministic sublinear-time approximations for metric 1-median selection. *Information Processing Letters*, 113(8):288–292, 2013.

[2] C.-L. Chang. A deterministic sublinear-time nonadaptive algorithm for metric 1-median selection. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 602:149–157, 2015.

[3] C.-L. Chang. A note on 1-median selection in metric spaces. In *Proceedings of the 32nd Workshop on Combinatorial Mathematics and Computation Theory*, pages 51–54, Taichung, Taiwan, 2015. http://par.cse.nsysu.edu.tw/~algo/paper/paper15/B1_3.pdf (This workshop does not publish proceedings.).

[4] C.-L. Chang. Metric 1-median selection: Query complexity vs. approximation ratio. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Computing and Combinatorics Conference*, pages 131–142, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.05662.

[5] C.-L. Chang. A lower bound for metric 1-median selection. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 84:44–51, 2017.

[6] K. Chen. On coresets for k-median and k-means clustering in metric and Euclidean spaces and their applications. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 39(3):923–947, 2009.

[7] S. Guha, A. Meyerson, N. Mishra, R. Motwani, and L. O'Callaghan. Clustering data streams: Theory and practice. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 15(3):515–528, 2003.

[8] P. Indyk. Sublinear time algorithms for metric space problems. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 428–434, 1999.

[9] P. Indyk. *High-dimensional computational geometry*. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 2000.

[10] A. Kumar, Y. Sabharwal, and S. Sen. Linear-time approximation schemes for clustering problems in any dimensions. *Journal of the ACM*, 57(2):5, 2010.

[11] W. Rudin. *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 3rd edition, 1976.

[12] B.-Y. Wu. On approximating metric 1-median in sublinear time. *Information Processing Letters*, 114(4):163–166, 2014.